

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

A DRI ICATIONING		EU DIG DATE	PIDOTALAMED DIVIDADO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,150		01/14/2002	Armin Schoppach	(Z) 99038 P US	4347
•	7590	09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
M. Robert	Kestenba	aum	PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
11011 Berr	nuda Dune	es NE			
Albuquerqı	ie, NM 8	37111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2872	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Un.
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/047,150	SCHOPPACH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joshua L Pritchett	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the provided for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the provided part of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 . 2a) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 20-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-35 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subjected to by the Examination Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	own from consideration. For election requirement. Her. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) △ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. △ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been received in Apporting the control of the cont	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Election submitted July 15, 2004. Claims 20-27 have been elected.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 20-27 in the reply filed on July 15, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull (US 6,404,547) in view of Herbst (US 5,663,563).

Page 3

Regarding claim 20, Hull teaches an optical system with a first optical element (13) having a focal point and a further optical element (14), the first optical element and the second optical element being arranged at a predetermined distance from each other (col. 4 lines 7-9) by means of a mounting (17 and 18). Hull further teaches the mountings (18) comprise compensation elements (17) allowing a change from the predetermined distance between the first optical element and the second optical element (col. 4 lines 41-43). Hull teaches the compensation elements being made of metal (col. 6 lines 49-50). Hull further teaches the movement of the optical element based on temperature dependence (col. 4 lines 20-42). Hull lacks reference to the compensating elements being made from a material so as to displace the first optical element in a same amount of displacement of the focal point occurs because of heating. Herbst teaches the compensating elements being made from a material so as to displace the first optical element in a same amount of displacement of the focal point occurs because of heating (col. 1 lines 54-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the compensating elements of Hull be made from a material to perform the function as taught by Herbst for the purpose of the optical system producing an image that is in focus regardless of the ambient temperature.

Regarding claim 21, Hull teaches at least one of the optical elements comprising a lens (11, col. 4 lines 7-9).

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull in view of Herbst as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Harnisch, B. "Ultralightweight C/SiC Mirror and Structures".

Art Unit: 2872

Hull in combination with Herbst teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the use of a mounting material with the claimed composition. Harnisch teaches the use of C/C SiC with a density of 2.23x103 kg/m³ to construct the mounting means of a telescope (page 4 col. 1). Once the Hull mounting means (18) is made of the Harnisch material the compensation elements (17) and the mounting means (18) will inherently have different thermal expansion coefficients because they are made of different materials. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Hull mounting means made of the Harnisch material for the purpose of allowing the telescope to be lightweight and therefore portable without losing strength in the mountings.

Claims 24, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull in view of Herbst and Jutte (US 4,098,476).

Regarding claims 24 and 27, Hull teaches an optical system with a first optical element (13) having a focal point and a further optical element (14), the first optical element and the second optical element being arranged at a predetermined distance from each other (col. 4 lines 7-9) by means of a mounting (17 and 18). Hull further teaches the mountings (18) comprise compensation elements (17) allowing a change from the predetermined distance between the first optical element and the second optical element (col. 4 lines 41-43). Hull teaches the compensation elements being made of metal (col. 6 lines 49-50). Hull further teaches the movement of the optical element based on temperature dependence (col. 4 lines 20-42). Hull lacks reference to the compensating elements being made from a material so as to displace the first optical element in a same amount of displacement of the focal point occurs because of

Art Unit: 2872

heating. Hull further lacks reference to titanium compensation elements. Herbst teaches the compensating elements being made to displace the first optical element in a same amount of displacement of the focal point occurs because of heating (col. 1 lines 54-57). Jutte teaches the use of titanium supports to use thermal expansion to control the position of a mirror (col. 1 lines 62-68). Once the compensating elements of Hull are made from titanium as taught by Jutte the compensating elements and the mounting will inherently have different thermal expansions because they are made from different materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the compensating elements of Hull be made from titanium as taught by Jutte to perform the function as taught by Herbst for the purpose of the optical system producing an image that is in focus regardless of the ambient temperature.

Regarding claim 25, Hull teaches at least one of the optical elements comprising a lens (11, col. 4 lines 7-9).

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull in view of Herbst and Jutte as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Harnisch, B. "Ultralightweight C/SiC Mirror and Structures".

Hull in combination with Herbst and Jutte teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the use of a mounting material with the claimed composition. Harnisch teaches the use of C/C SiC with a density of 2.23x103 kg/m³ to construct the mounting means of a telescope (page 4 col. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Hull mounting means made of the Harnisch material for the

Art Unit: 2872

purpose of allowing the telescope to be lightweight and therefore portable without losing strength in the mountings.

Response to Arguments

Page 6

Applicant's arguments in Amendment filed March 17, 2004 were drawn to cancelled claimed. Therefore the arguments drawn to the rejection of claims 4-19 are most in relation to the newly submitted claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2872

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP W

DREW A. DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER